

Public Document Pack



SUMMONS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT A MEETING OF THE HART DISTRICT COUNCIL WILL BE HELD IN COUNCIL CHAMBER ON THURSDAY, 27TH JANUARY, 2022 AT 7.00 PM

Joint Chief Executive

CIVIC OFFICES, HARLINGTON WAY
FLEET, HAMPSHIRE GU51 4AE

AGENDA

This Agenda and associated appendices are provided in electronic form only and are published on the Hart District Council Website.

Please download all papers through the Modern.Gov app before the meeting.

- **At the start of the meeting, the Lead Officer will confirm the Fire Evacuation Procedure.**
- **The Chairman will announce that this meeting will be recorded and that anyone remaining at the meeting had provided their consent to any such recording.**

1 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Pages 4 - 17)

To confirm the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 25 November 2021.

2 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

To receive any apologies for absence from Members*.

***Note:** Members are asked to email Committee Services in advance of the meeting as soon as they become aware they will be absent.

3 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

To declare disposable pecuniary, and any other interests*.

***Note:** Members are asked to email Committee Services in advance of the meeting as soon as they become aware they may have an interest to declare.

4 COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 12 - QUESTIONS BY THE PUBLIC

To receive any questions from members of the public submitted pursuant to Council Procedure Rule 12.

*Note: The text of any question under Council Procedure Rule 12 must be given to the **Chief Executive** (email committeeservices@hart.gov.uk) no later than **Noon on Friday, 21 January 2022**.*

5 COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 14 - QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS

To receive any questions from Members submitted pursuant to Council Procedure Rule 14.

*Note: The text of any question under the Council Procedure Rule 14.3 must be given to the **Chief Executive** (email committeeservices@hart.gov.uk) not later than **5.00pm on Monday, 24 January 2022**.*

*The text of any question under Council Procedure Rule 14.4 must be submitted to the **Chief Executive** before **10.00am on Thursday, 27 January 2022**.*

6 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

7 CABINET MEMBERS' ANNOUNCEMENTS

8 CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPORTS

9 MINUTES OF COMMITTEES (Pages 18 - 52)

The Minutes of the following Committees, which met on the dates shown, are submitted.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 14.1, Members are allowed to put questions at Council with Notice in respect of any matters in the Minutes to the Leader of the Council or any Chairman of the relevant meeting at the time those Minutes are received by Council.

Meeting	Date	Page Numbers	For Decision
Cabinet	2 Dec 2021	37-41	
Cabinet (draft)	6 Jan 2022	42-47	
Planning	8 Dec 2021	38-39	
Overview & Scrutiny	16 Nov 2021	29-34	
Overview & Scrutiny (draft)	14 Dec 2021	35-41	
Audit (draft)	7 Dec 2021	9-14	
Licensing (draft)	23 Nov 2021	4-6	

10 COUNCIL TAX BASE 2022/23 (Pages 53 - 56)

To review the proposed Council Tax Base for 2022/23.

11 OUTSIDE BODIES - FEEDBACK FROM MEMBERS

To receive any feedback from Members who are representatives of the Council on an Outside Body.

Date of Publication: Wednesday, 19 January 2022

Public Document Pack Agenda Item 1

COUNCIL

Date and Time: Thursday 25 November 2021 at 7.00 pm

Place: Council Chamber

Present:

COUNCILLORS -

Ambler (Chairman)

Axam	Davies	Oliver
Bailey	Delaney	Quarterman
Blewett (arrived 7.12pm)	Dorn	Radley
Butcher	Drage	Smith
Butler	Farmer	Southern
Coburn	Forster	Wildsmith
Cockarill	Harward	Worlock
Collins	Kinnell (left 7.46pm)	Wright
Crampton	Lamb	
Crookes	Neighbour	

Officers Present:

Daryl Phillips	Joint Chief Executive
Sabrina Cranny	Committee Services Officer
Lee Rome	Committee Services Officer

36 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 30 September 2021 were confirmed and signed as a correct record.

37 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Councillor Kennett, Councillor Wheale, Councillor Clarke and Councillor Crisp.

38 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

No declarations were made.

39 PRESENTATION – CHIEF INSPECTOR KIRSTEN TROMAN, HAMPSHIRE POLICE DISTRICT COMMANDER, HART & RUSHMOOR

Chief Inspector Troman gave a presentation to Council outlining local policing priorities and provided supporting data.

Councillors asked questions around the following areas:

- Sexual Offences
- The national detective shortage
- Increases in burglary
- The 'Street Safe' scheme
- ASB linked to the night-time economy in Fleet, and the workings of the police in tandem with Hart's Community Safety Team
- Domestic crime and 'volume' crime
- Rural crime

Particular praise was offered for the PCSO who foot patrols Elevetham Heath and Fleet Town centre, as their visible presence was felt to have had a positive impact on ASB in these areas.

The Chairman thanked Chief Inspector Troman for attending Council.

40 COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 12 - QUESTIONS BY THE PUBLIC

Questions had been received from David Turver, details of which are set out in Appendix A attached to these minutes.

41 COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 14 - QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS

Questions had been received from Councillor Butcher, the details of which are set out in Appendix B attached to these minutes.

Councillor Kinnell left the meeting during this item at 7.46pm, after question 1 (see Appendix B).

Councillor Delaney left meeting at 7.49pm, during question 2 (see Appendix B).

42 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman announced that he conducted the following visits:

- 10 Oct: High Sheriff of Hampshire - Law Sunday Matins at Winchester Cathedral
- 24 Oct: Mayor of Bracknell Forest - Civic Service
- 27 Oct: Cross Barn, Odiham - AGM & opening of new cinema/AV system
- 11 Nov: Remembrance Day – 2-minute silence at Gurkha Square
- 14 Nov: Remembrance Sunday Parade - Church Crookham
- 14 Nov: Remembrance Sunday Parade – Fleet

43 CABINET MEMBERS' ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Leader of the Council, **Councillor Neighbour** announced that:

- Fleet Pond had been awarded the Green Flag and he thanked all parties involved

- Funding of £10k per year had been awarded for the stewardship scheme at Odiham Common which will help to fund the management plan coming to Cabinet in the spring.
- Whitewater Meadow at Hook has now been adopted and play equipment will be installed over the next year.
- Council Leaders met in Hampshire to discuss the potential for a County Deal. Another meeting will be held in January after the Government White Paper is released. Potential options are being worked out in anticipation of this. More will be reported back after this.

The Cabinet Member for Finance and Corporate Services, **Councillor Radley** reminded Council that there will be a meeting for all Councillors on 16th December to discuss Tier 3 savings, and about the importance of these discussions in respect to Budget setting going forward. A briefing paper will be provided for Councillors as a starting point.

The Cabinet Member for Community, **Councillor Bailey** made the following announcements:

- Household Support Grant – a new grant fund launched in November (funded by government but distributed by HCC) – to help offer financial support to residents impacted by covid, with essentials over the winter. The aim is to give small grants for food, clothing, utilities. This is being administered by the Community Team - details on our website. Please refer in any residents that you know are struggling.
- Community Safety have been focusing on community engagement and attended the World Sight Day event on 06/11/2021 in Fleet with the Hart Lions, allowing members of the public to challenge their sight by using our beer goggles and then thinking about the impact of alcohol on capabilities.

Councillor Delaney returned to the meeting at this point, 8.03pm

Councillor Bailey continued:

- Every November we report the rough sleeping estimate for Hart based on our knowledge of who was sleeping rough on a date in November. I can confirm we are reporting 1 rough sleeper out on the night of the 10th of November going into the morning of the 11th. This is half the number last year. He is known to us, and we have been working with him for a fair while, but engagement has been sporadic, and he has been alternating between a tent and friends sofas however I'm pleased to say he has now moved into settled accommodation. They have left behind a fair bit of mess so have contacted the street cleaning team to get this

The Cabinet Member for Place, **Councillor Cockarill** made the following announcements:

- I am pleased to announce that this Administration is delivering what we promised: A strong and robust Local Plan to ensure that we provide both the new homes that the district needs, but which also enables us to resist development in areas where we don't want it to happen. Key to this was

putting in place a robust 5-year land supply. As a result, we have already seen off the unacceptable developments at both West of Hook and Pale Lane.

- Tonight, I can announce that we have reinforced that land supply position. We can demonstrate an ongoing 10 years' supply including supporting the delivery of much needed new homes for Surrey Heath. Just as importantly, we have reinforced the Local Plan's housing trajectory to ensure that we meet the Government's Housing Delivery test beyond 2028. I am pleased that Council unanimously supported the adoption of our Local Plan in 2020 and that we are delivering on what we promised to our residents.

44 CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPORTS

The Joint Chief Executive had nothing to report.

45 MINUTES OF COMMITTEES

The Minutes of the following Committees, which met on the dates shown, were received by Council.

Cabinet - 7th October 2021

No questions were asked.

Cabinet (draft) - 4th November 2021

Councillor Crookes asked that in approving the level 2 business cases in Decision 2 of the minutes, had Cabinet acted within its rights?

Councillor Radley responded that a motion had been submitted to Council later in this meeting seeking approval for that decision as the budget (and amendments to it) is set by Council.

Overview & Scrutiny - 21st September 2021

No questions were asked.

Overview & Scrutiny (draft) - 19th October 2021

No questions were asked.

Planning – 13th October 2021

No questions were asked. Since no request to debate had been received the Departure to the Local Plan was deemed to be **ACCEPTED**.

Planning (draft) - 10th November 2021

No questions were asked.

Planning Major Sites Sub-Committee (draft) - 22nd September 2021

No questions were asked.

RECOMMENDATION

There was a recommendation for Council in **Cabinet 7 October 2021 – Minute 61 Food Recovery Plan** that the Food Recovery Plan 21-22 be approved.

Councillor Neighbour put the recommendation, seconded by **Councillor Radley**.

Councillor Forster asked if the Food Recovery Plan would need to be updated in light of the declared Climate Emergency.

Councillor Neighbour stated that a written response would be provided.

DECISION

That the Food Recovery Plan 21-22 be approved.

46 CLIMATE EMERGENCY UPDATE

A Climate Emergency update was given by The Leader of the Council, **Councillor Neighbour** as follows:

“Upon declaring a Climate Change Emergency in April, Full Council asked for 6 monthly updates. I've decided to provide a verbal update in November, with a formal annual report in May with officers in attendance to help with Q&A.

We are undergoing a carbon pathway assessment to help inform our evolving climate change action plan over the next decade. We have already put into action a number of initiatives.

Most recently the Planning Committee have given approval for two solar farms in the west of Hart, capable of powering up to 30,000 homes, almost 70% of the District.

The first phase of Hart's Green Grid moves forward as tenders are being selected for a cycle path between Hartland Park and Fleet railway station. We are also partnering with Hampshire County Council in creating a wider local walking and cycling strategy.

Our recent electric survey highlighted that three quarters of Hart respondents were planning to change to an electric car within five years. We are installing more EV charging points across the district, having already installed them at both Church Road car park and at the new Edenbrook flats.

Our leisure centres use of solar panels continues to save around 16.7 tonnes of carbon emissions every year.

The Countryside Team are switching to battery powered equipment such as hedge trimmers, mowers and chainsaws. The community garden project at Edenbrook will encourage residents to grow their own vegetables and using sustainable compost generated by our wider activities within the team.

The Climate Change working group has evolved to include Blackwater Valley Friends of the Earth, we now include themed items which have included tree planting schemes and the new Environment Act. We also launched a communication plan that geared up both for Big Green Week in September plus daily posting during the COP26 event in Glasgow.

The next draft service plans already include a survey to help the greening of our car parks and buildings, as well as a formal tree planting scheme.”

Councillor Forster asked whether it was the Northern or Southern route of the Green Grid that was being progressed.

Councillor Neighbour confirmed it was the Northern route.

Councillor Forster asked why there had been no Solar PV implementation in phase 3 of Hartland Village.

Councillor Oliver stated that the developer had gone beyond all planning and other specifications and that Air Source Heat Pumps had been agreed for implementation in all properties in phase 3 as part of the development and the installation of PV Solar panels have been asked for in addition to this.

Councillor Quarterman asked if there had been any feedback from Friends of the Earth.

Councillor Neighbour stated that Friends of the Earth had recently issued a press release detailing a ‘manifesto of actions they want Local Government to take’ and that Hart District Council was working toward those and encouraging Hampshire County Council to do so in areas that required the authorities to work together.

47 OUTSIDE BODIES - FEEDBACK FROM MEMBERS

Councillor Bailey had recently attended the Police and Crime Panel meeting where the four-year plan of the new Police Commissioner was discussed. Areas of note were a focus on the victims of crime, a crackdown on unauthorised encampments, and giving a voice to rural communities.

48 MOTION TO COUNCIL

The following motion was moved by **Councillor Wildsmith** and seconded by **Councillor Neighbour**.

“That Hart District Council Resolves to

- i. *Acknowledge the efforts that this Council has made to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and promote renewable energy;*
- ii. *Further recognises*
 - *that very large financial setup and running costs involved in selling locally generated renewable electricity to local customers in the Hart District*

- council area as well as other local authority areas result in it being impossible for local renewable electricity generators to do so,*
- *that making these financial costs proportionate to the scale of a renewable electricity supplier's operation would create significant opportunities for local companies, community groups and councils including Hart District Council to be providers of locally generated renewable electricity directly to local people, businesses and organisations, if they wished, and*
 - *that revenues received by such local companies, community groups or councils that chose to become local renewable electricity providers could be used to help improve the local economy, local services and facilities and to reduce local greenhouse gas emissions;*
- iii. *Note that the Parliamentary Environmental Audit Committee, as a result of its 2021 Technological Innovations and Climate Change inquiry, recommended that a Right to Local Supply for local energy suppliers be established to address this;*
- iv. *Accordingly resolve to support the Local Electricity Bill, currently supported by a cross-party group of 278 MPs and 79 other Local Authorities and which, if made law, would establish a Right to Local Supply which would promote local renewable electricity supply by making the setup and running costs of selling renewable electricity to local customers proportionate to the size of the supply company; and*
- v. *Further resolves to*
- *inform the local media of this decision,*
 - *write to local MPs, asking them to support the Bill, and write to the organisers of the campaign for the Bill, Power for People, (at Camden Collective, 5-7 Buck Street, London NW1 8NJ or info@powerforpeople.org.uk) expressing its support."*

Councillors debated the motion in the following areas:

- The nature of Members Bills in Parliament
- Cross party support for the Bill at Westminster
- Specifics about the Bill with regard to regulation and how companies participate
- Local authority involvement in similar schemes
- The lack of clarity around schemes needing to be Carbon neutral, and the potential Climate Change impacts

DECISION

The motion was CARRIED.

49 MOTION TO COUNCIL

The following motion was moved by **Councillor Radley** and seconded by **Councillor Neighbour**.

“That The Council Resolves (with regard to the Cabinet deliberations following scrutiny by Overview and Scrutiny Committee) to endorse the Level 1 and 2 saving proposals as set out in the Cabinet reports of the 2 September and 4 November 2021 and to empower officers to commence work immediately on implementing the proposed savings so that they can take effect at the earliest opportunity.”

Councillors debated the motion in the following areas:

- Whether Council approval was required for the decisions taken at Cabinet
- The consequences of delaying a decision on approval
- The relationship between approving the decisions and the next round of Budget approval

An adjournment was taken during this item between 8.56pm and 9.06pm

DECISION

The motion was CARRIED.

The meeting closed at 9.12 pm

COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 12

QUESTIONS BY THE PUBLIC

Mr. David Turver was unable to be present at the meeting. His questions were read out by the Chairman, **Councillor Simon Ambler**.

Mr David Turver had asked:

Hart needs a revised Local Plan in place by five years from adoption, which is April 2025. Various steps will need to be completed such as Examination and various consultations. The Council will also need to find sites to meet the housing delivery test and make adjustments resulting from new household projections due to be published in 2023. The Inspector also said that "appropriate and proportionate area/site assessments [and] viability testing would need to be done in an impartial manner". Can you sketch out the broad activities and timelines required to meet the April 2025 deadline?

Councillor Cockarill responded:

There is a confusion in the wording used by Government in this area which unfortunately leads to misinterpretations. The law does not require new Local Plans to be in place within 5 years of adoption, only that a Local Plan is assessed to see whether it requires updating. The Government guidance uses the words "assessment" and "review" in a loose manner, leading to the incorrect assumption that we need a new Local Plan by 2025.

There are, however, a number of factors which might affect the relevance of some of our current policies. For instance, the current Local Plan was adopted prior to Hart's Climate Emergency Declaration, so there may be a need to revise the some of the policies relating to the environment and climate change.

Therefore, we have decided to undertake an assessment of our current Local Plan, taking into account such things as the Climate Emergency Declaration and of course the emerging planning White Paper and subsequent guidance, to see which, if any, of our policies need updating.

Based upon the outcome of that assessment, which we expect will be in about a year's time, Cabinet will then be asked to consider what next steps need to be taken. This could be no action necessary, update some of our existing policies, or possibly start work on a whole new Local Plan.

Mr David Turver had asked:

At the September Council meeting we were promised that the Shapley Heath survey results were going to be published "in full" (subject to GDPR check) "shortly". Most reasonable people would have expected "shortly" to mean within a couple of weeks. They are still being kept secret, with a vague commitment to publish sometime in the New Year. Can you give a precise deadline for when these important results will be made available to the taxpayers of Hart who paid for the survey?

Councillor Cockarill responded:

The necessary work to allow us to release the survey is almost complete, and we expect to be able to publish these on 13th December, subject to the results of the outstanding surveys being returned prior to that date.

Mr David Turver had asked:

Back in September we were promised a "a business case for the cost benefit analysis of starting to commence the preparation for the next local plan review". Subsequent papers have been notable because they contained no costs and no benefits of stopping Shapley Heath and changing course. Are we to assume that all of the FY21/22 budget for Shapley Heath has been spent already, despite the project being terminated early, and so no savings will be made, or is this just another example of weak financial controls?

Councillor Radley responded:

I can assure Mr Turver that I am confident that there are no examples of weak financial controls at Hart; and I doubt very much that he is aware of any either. Yet another disingenuous phrasing of a question.

The 21/22 budgeted figure for expenditure on the research into the potential for a New Settlement is £156,938.

Actual expenditure excluding recharges at the 31st October was £92,503.24.

The forecast full year expenditure through to 31st March 2022 is £135,388.

Mr David Turver had asked:

As you know, Hart is facing a significant structural budget deficit for the foreseeable future. The Level 1 and Level 2 savings identified so far do not fill the financial black hole. Nearby East Hampshire and Havant councils get along fine with just one CEO and senior management team shared between them. Yet Hart, one of the smallest local authorities in the country, has two CEOs. What actions are you taking to ensure this shameful waste of taxpayers' money does not continue?

Councillor Neighbour responded:

Members may recall that on the retirement of the previous Chief Executive the senior leadership team of Hart District Council was changed from a Chief Executive and two Corporate Directors to two Joint Chief Executives which save £80k per year.

The Senior management costs at the two authorities highlighted cost £2m each whereas at Hart it is £1.4m. There are 2 Councils smaller than Hart in Hampshire, and 64 that are smaller nationally according to 2019 figures.

Costs per head in Hart per person are £13.80 per head, in East Hampshire & Havant it is £16.43 and in Basingstoke it is £22.72 (where Senior Management includes all staff being paid more than £50k). The challenge of the budget deficit is noted, and we will not stand still. We always look at the management structure in place to ensure it is fit for purpose and are currently content that this is the case.

COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 14

QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS

Councillor Butcher asked:

Can the portfolio holder with responsibility for the dog warden service please provide an update on the status of the contract process for the service? Has this gone to market yet, if not is there an opportunity to review the target deliverables & SLAs?

Councillor Kinnell responded:

In light of decisions reached by Cabinet, Hart's 'Stray dog collection, kennelling, and rehoming' service will be offered for tender on the 2nd December.

The scope of the tender, and any deliverables, will be determined in consultation with the service's portfolio holder. All procurement will be undertaken in line with Hart's procurement policies.

Cllr Butcher asked a supplementary question:

The savings that were identified as part of a reduced service included the expenditure on the dog warden van. When do we expect to realise the savings and is there a reason why the dog warden van remains outside the Council offices?

Councillor Kinnell responded:

A written response would be provided.

The written response received was as follows:

The future of the van depends very much on the outcome of the tendering of the Dog Warden service. It still has a MoT, it is insured, and it remains in a serviceable condition. In the meantime, the van has been started, checked over, and driven to a temporary storage location.

Councillor Kinnell left the meeting at this point, at 7.46pm

Councillor Butcher asked:

Can the cabinet member responsible for Parking please clarify what the income that is raised from Fleet Town Council for the market is used to fund? Is it linked to regeneration or some other purpose?

Councillor Delaney left meeting at this point, at 7.49pm.

Councillor Oliver responded:

This is a really good question because it allows me to highlight the support that the Council is currently giving to Fleet town centre and other local communities across the district.

At the outset I must stress that all income that is received is used to support the delivery of Council services. Often, such as with the market on Gurkha Square, the income received is used to offset what would otherwise be funding lost from parking income.

It is of course possible to redirect funds to specific ringfenced projects, but it would mean a change made in our approach to the Council's structural budget. That means that Members will first need to specifically identify from where that funding is being taken from.

Having said that, let's look more positively at what we are currently doing to support Fleet Town Centre and regeneration generally. As a Council we actively support local activities not just in Fleet but also elsewhere in the district. To support the Fleet market, we made the immediate decision at the start of the pandemic to waive any rent from the market. No income has been received from Fleet Town Council since they took over running the Market in March 2020, so the question of where this has been invested is redundant. Last night not only did we support Fleet Festivities by offering sponsorship, but we went further and deployed staff resources on the ground as well as issuing 60 parking passes. Elsewhere we are currently working with Hartley Wintney Parish Council to support the Christmas Market, and I know we will be doing things with Hook where the Cracker is taking place, and for the whole District we will again be supporting Small Business Saturday in two weeks' time by making use of all our car parks free!

Cllr Butcher asked a supplementary question:

There is a lot of work going on in the background with myself and members from Fleet Town Council, Fleet BID, Hampshire County Council and other external bodies regarding the regeneration of the market. Our aim is to get the market thriving again and we would like to see a relaunch of a refreshed market for early next year.

As part of this will the cabinet member support an initiative where Gurkha Square is made available to Fleet Town Council at no cost for at least 12-months?

This would be based on the assumption that the town council passes this benefit to the traders. As a suggestion, this could be funded from the COVID recovery grant.

Councillor Oliver responded:

I have been discussing this with Fleet Town Council and Cabinet colleagues about how to support the regeneration of the market and focus it upon supporting local businesses and traders. The use of Covid Recovery grants in this process is being discussed with Officers in respect to whether the grants are possible for that purpose. Discussions are also ongoing around whether Gurkha Square is the appropriate focus for the market as greater shopper footfall is focussed on the other end of Fleet High Street.

Public Document Pack Agenda Item 9

CABINET

Date and Time: Thursday 2 December 2021 at 7.00 pm

Place: Council Chamber

Present:

Bailey, Clarke, Cockarill, Kinnell, Neighbour (Leader), Oliver, Quarterman and Radley

In attendance: Axam, Butcher and Smith

Officers:

Patricia Hughes	Joint Chief Executive
Adam Green	Ecology and Countryside Manager
Daniel Hawes	Planning Policy and Economic Development Manager
Jenny Wood	Principal Planning Policy Manager
Gemma Watts	Strategy and Development Officer
Amy Summers	Media and Communications Manager
Joanne Rayne	Finance Manager
Ashley Grist	Contracts and Procurement Manager
Helen Vincent	Committee Services Officer

78 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

Minute number 76 of the minutes of the meeting held on 4 November 2021 were amended.

2. The Level Two business cases contained in Appendix One were discussed and agreed.

The updated minutes were confirmed and signed as a correct record.

79 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

No apologies received.

80 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The Chairman announced a non-pecuniary interest to minute number 85 as he is a Member of the Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust and Butterfly Conservation.

81 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman had two announcements. The first was to apologise to Councillor Forster and anyone else who may have been offended by his inappropriate reference made to a fellow Member of the Council at the previous meeting.

The second was to announce an urgent report which was presented by the Joint Chief Executive. The report requested approval for additional use of Council assets to help in the battle against Covid 19 and specifically to support the extended vaccination programme by the NHS up to March 2022.

It was explained that the exact requirements aren't know at this time, but the assets are associated with the Civic Campus area and the timescales had been requested by the NHS.

DECISION

Cabinet agreed to delegate to the Joint Chief Executives the powers to make decisions associated with the Councils Assets (including buildings and car parks) to facilitate the delivery of the Government's expanded vaccination programme up to the 31st March 2022.

82 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION (ITEMS PERTAINING TO THE AGENDA)

None.

83 COMMUNICATIONS AND ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY

This report sought Cabinet approval on the proposed Communications and Engagement Strategy alongside the proposed Content Strategy.

Members were informed that the Communications and Engagement Strategy included key messages which related to the current corporate plan and were reassured that these are flexible and represent the Council consistently.

Members praised the report content and excellent advice detailed on the Council's approach to communication contained in both strategies, and welcomed assistance offered by Members to improve the content further.

DECISION

Cabinet approved the adoption of the two strategies for the period of 2022 – 2026 and because both documents are living documents they would be reviewed regularly during their lifetime.

84 HART INTERIM PLANNING POLICY STATEMENT ON FIRST HOMES

Cabinet were presented with a report which reflected the introduction of First Homes as an affordable housing product by the Government in May 2021 and sets out a suggested approach to be applied in Hart district, through the introduction of an Interim Planning Policy Statement.

It was suggested that we use an interim policy statement instead of a full review of the Government policy to ensure that the Government guidelines are followed in setting up the First Homes policy, giving space for planning officers to gather

evidence on what works better within this planning policy allowing time for more research to be carried out on the approach made by other authorities for further learning and future review going forward.

Members had concerns around the shared ownership opportunities becoming less attractive and less sustainable and were advised that legal advice was sought to take First Homes out of the Affordable Home Ownership element of the policy. However, the Council has decided to go for the standard approach on an interim basis to allow time to gather the evidence required to ascertain accurately what is needed for a viable approach to protect the rented units better. Also, the planning team are working with a Hampshire wide working group with other local authorities for comparisons. The standard approach was considered the safer option and within the Government guidelines until such research could be concluded.

The Chairman announced that he accepts the recommendation and understands this is an untested area but supports the solution provided for the interim until the impact of this legislation on the market is evident and we can demonstrate the risk is a genuine risk.

DECISION

Cabinet agreed to adopt the First Homes Interim Planning Policy Statement at Appendix A.

85 BIODIVERSITY AND CARBON OFFSETTING DELIVERY STRATEGY

This report required Cabinet approval to provide funding for the appointment of consultants to investigate the potential for Biodiversity Net Gain and Carbon Sequestration and to prepare a report setting out projects that will form the basis of a biodiversity and carbon offsetting delivery strategy. 10% offsetting and an opportunity for us to utilise this on our own site or to enable developments to deliver their 10% net gain.

Members welcomed the biodiversity and carbon offsetting strategy especially as our district is blessed with lowland acid heaths and chalk downlands, both of which are not known for sustaining trees. This will make the scheme challenging to implement, but the Council is keen to encourage the steps to finding the solutions and use the opportunities available.

Cabinet learnt that there is a level of baseline which needs to be defined and is a key part of the project which allows offset payment to deliver the scheme. Members were advised that a future tree planting strategy will be forthcoming.

DECISION

Cabinet approved for £29k of Section 106 Biodiversity offsetting funding, collected and earmarked for the purpose, be allocated for scoping exercises,

identification of potential projects and the development of a potential biodiversity and carbon offsetting delivery strategy.

86 FUNDING FOR GREEN GRID SIGNAGE STRATEGY

Cabinet approval was sought to provide funding for the appointment of consultants to develop the branding and signage strategy to support the delivery of Harts Green Grid.

Clarification was sought on what the funds would include, and it was confirmed that this will be used to cost external resources to form the basis of what is required to be delivered across the district, but the branding strategies are independent to local authority areas. It is an opportunity for visualisation work on our Green Grid for residents. It was agreed that Councillor Clarke will be included in the tender process to help and assist framing our ambitions of providing signs that will be recognised by branding zones and areas displaying safer routes and footpaths.

DECISION

Members agreed that £28k is allocated in the council 21/22 budget to fund the consultancy costs required to prepare a wider signage strategy.

87 FROGMORE DAY CARE CENTRE

Cabinet were updated on the Frogmore Day Centre (FDC) and were asked to approve to move a proportion of the Commercialisation funds that were placed in ear marked reserves, to support the delivery of a building in a condition suitable to accommodate a new lessee (Age Concern).

Members were advised of the need to invest approximately £25k of funding to bring a district asset back into serviceable use. Members sought clarification on how quickly the work can be completed so the facility can be handed over to the new tenants and were confident to learn that the Council will endeavour to project manage the delivery of quality renovations as quickly as possible. Cabinet were advised that the new lease sets out an agreement that the new tenants are required to maintain and repair the building for the duration of their tenancy.

DECISION

1. That Cabinet agreed to invest in the maintenance of FDC so that the building can be leased to Age Concern in a safe and clean condition.
2. That Cabinet authorised the granting of a new full repairing lease to Age Concern for the building, for the period of 10 years, with break clause after 5 years, on a peppercorn rent.

Appendix 3 of this report is exempt from publication.

88 CABINET WORK PROGRAMME

The Cabinet Work Programme was considered and amended as follows:

The Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy is anticipated to come forward to Cabinet in January 2022.

The meeting closed at 8.17 pm

Public Document Pack

CABINET

Date and Time: Thursday 6 January 2022 at 7.00 pm

Place: Council Chamber

Present:

COUNCILLORS

Bailey, Clarke, Cockarill, Kinnell, Neighbour (Leader), Oliver and Quarterman

In attendance: Axam, Crookes, Dorn, Forster, Radley, Smith.

Officers:

Patricia Hughes	Joint Chief Executive
Emma Foy	Head of Corporate Services & S151 Officer
Christine Tetlow	New Settlement Manager
Helen Taylor-Cobb	Head of Contracted Residents Services, Basingstoke & Deane Borough Council
Sarah Robinson	Waste & Recycling Manager, Joint Waste Client Team, Basingstoke & Deane and Hart
Lee Rome	Committee Services Officer

89 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

Minutes of the meeting held on 2 December 2021 were signed as a correct record.

90 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

None, though it was noted that Cllr Radley was attending via Teams.

91 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Bailey and Councillor Cockarill declared an interest in the Welcome Back Fund item due to their membership of Yateley Town Council. Councillor Oliver declared an interest for the same item as a member of Fleet Town Council. Cllr Forster declared an interest in the item on Project Integra as a member of Hampshire County Council.

92 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman announced that the Environment Agency have now confirmed allocation of £375k of funding for the Phoenix Green Flood Alleviation Scheme which will provide flood protection to 38 properties in Phoenix Green through a combination of natural flood management measures and property level protection.

In January 2020 Hart Cabinet agreed support for the scheme and the allocation £70k in the Council's capital programme, which is being matched with £70k of funding from Vivid Housing Association.

Hart officers will shortly be engaging a contractor to undertake initial property surveys and install property level protection measures at Phoenix Green.

Councillor Radley announced that the Chancellor of the Exchequer had announced on 21 December 2021 that two new grants would be made available due to the ongoing situation with the Omicron variant of the Coronavirus. The grants would be available to Hospitality and Leisure businesses that were rate paying, and a second discretionary grant to other businesses facing restrictions.

Hospitality and Leisure businesses would be eligible for grants based upon rates they currently pay and would need to reapply for these grants even if they were eligible under the previous grant scheme. Businesses were encouraged to ensure they had gathered and submitted all the necessary information to ensure applications were processed in a timely fashion.

The second discretionary grant would look to fund businesses that are not covered by the first grant, such as those who do not pay rates. A briefing note would be supplied to all Members shortly, and the information around the scheme was available on the Hart District Council website.

Clarification was sought that the reapplication requirement was likely due to prevent fraud, such as from business that have closed since the initial grant scheme, and that understanding was confirmed.

Councillor Oliver updated Cabinet that garden waste collections were planned to restart in Basingstoke on 10 January and in Hart on 17 January. This plan was being reviewed daily to ensure that other waste collection services could continue unaffected.

93 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION (ITEMS PERTAINING TO THE AGENDA)

Sue Tilley, Fleet Town Councillor, attended the meeting in support of the Welcome Back Fund application by Fleet BID.

94 MINUTES FROM THE CLIMATE CHANGE WORKING GROUP

The minutes of the meeting held on 29 November were noted.

95 MINUTES FROM THE CIVIC QUARTER REGENERATION WORKING GROUP

Minutes of the meeting held on 13 December 2021 were noted and Cabinet approved to amend the terms of reference of the Civic Quarter Regeneration Working Group to permit all Hampshire County Councillors and Hart District Councillors elected to represent Fleet to attend the Working Group meetings as passive observers, except during meeting discussions where there is a conflict of

interest. It was noted that this must be properly enforced at the meeting, especially in respect of potential commercial and financial conflicts.

It was clarified that the term 'elected to represent Fleet' included all Hart District Councillors whose ward contains part of the area Fleet Town Council represents and Hampshire Country Councillors whose division boundaries overlapped with the boundaries of Fleet Town Council.

The wording in the terms of reference would be amended accordingly.

96 WELCOME BACK FUND

This report provided a new Welcome Back Fund application by Fleet BID. This report also provided an amendment to one of Yateley Town Council's approved Welcome Back Fund applications.

Councillor Oliver moved an additional recommendation regarding the future approval of applications as the time remaining in the scheme made approval at Cabinet difficult to schedule, that there were significant funds still available to apply for, and that the approval process would be more efficient if it were delegated. A potential new market bid through Fleet Town Council was cited. If there were any other late applications these would also be difficult to approve at Cabinet due to the timescales involved.

The opportunity to apply for current unallocated funds would be advertised to Town and Parish Councils so that further applications could be made by them in the time remaining.

Members discussed the Fleet Bid application with Sue Tilley around how the planned videos would be used and how success criteria would be evaluated, and the next steps of the process.

DECISION

That Cabinet:

1. Approved Fleet BID's new application for promotional videos for Fleet town centre to progress to the next stage of the Welcome Back Fund process.
2. Approved Yateley Town Council's amended application to purchase addition wildflower turf to progress to the next stage of the Welcome Back Fund process.
3. Approved that the review and approval of any future applications be delegated to the Portfolio Holder (Leader) in consultation with the Joint Chief Executive. Any approved applications using this process would be submitted as an Executive Decision to provide the opportunity for call in.

97 PROJECT INTEGRA JOINT MUNICIPAL WASTE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

Cabinet were presented with a report setting out the Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy (JMWMS) that sought endorsement of the strategic direction for the partnership. This will be supported by a new operational partnership agreement and detailed action plan to take Project Integra (PI) forward, including meeting the requirements of the Environment Bill.

Members discussed:

- That the current position is to endorse the principle of the plan and that final approval will come back to Cabinet at a future date.
- That other authorities in the scheme had either adopted the same position as Hart or had wholly endorsed the plan.
- “Twin-stream” collections and the difficulties of glass separation using this method. Comments on this would be fed back to the Project Integra team and clarification sought on why the separate collection of glass was rejected as an option.
- The nature and viability of kerbside sorting.
- The wide-ranging consultancy process undertaken to draft the plan.
- The implications of not taking part in Project Integra, and the nature of large-scale collaborative projects with multiple stakeholders.
- The potential impact of the Government’s Environment Bill.
- The conveying of comments made at Overview and Scrutiny Committee and at Cabinet to the Project Integra team.
- The next steps and timelines of the project and the possible implications of having to end current contracts early.
- The implications of food waste collection requirements.
- Garden waste collections and the lack of clear financial mitigations at this stage.

The Chairman thanked Officers for being available to answer any questions.

DECISION

That Cabinet endorsed the principle to move to the “twin-stream” approach to recycling but noting the clear proviso, that no commitment can yet be made to it, until the requirements of the Environment Bill and the associated financial arrangements are made clear, and agreement is reached on any revision to Project Integra with Hampshire County Council.

98 QUARTER TWO BUDGET MONITORING

This report contained the revenue and capital outturn for the first six months of the year ending 30 September 2021. It also contained predictions of forecasts of revenue and capital expenditure to year end (31 March 2022). Finally, the report contained a brief update from the provisional Local Government Settlement received on 16th December 2021.

It was noted that although no questions were asked, that Member's discussions with Officers around this topic outside of the meeting were ongoing. Whilst

recognising that services were underspending their budgets and the overspend was attributable to a drop in income, the Chairman encouraged Officers to seek further opportunities to close the gap on any overspend across the rest of the financial year.

It was recognised that the report provided a snapshot in time and that the quarter three report was hoped to be brought forward to Cabinet in March (and therefore Overview and Scrutiny Committee in February) rather than April.

DECISION

That Cabinet noted:

- A. the revised projections and main revenue variances highlighted in Paragraph 4.1 and Appendices 1 and 2.
- B. the provisional full year revenue outturn position as of 30 September 2021 of an £612K overspend as detailed in Table 4.3.
- C. the capital outturn position as of 30 September 2021. To date the capital programme has underspent against profiled against budget by £9.863m. This is shown in Table 5.3.

99 TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY STATEMENT HALF-YEAR REVIEW REPORT 2021/22

This reported the Council's Treasury Management activities and performance during the first half of the 2021/22 financial year (April-September 2021).

Members discussed the impact of potential rise interest rates on Hart's future investment strategy. It was clarified that the extension to the Counterparty limit was intended to last until the end of the financial year.

DECISION

1. That Cabinet agreed the recommendation to increase the Barclays Counterparty limit to £10m to accommodate the investment in the Barclays Green Investment fund. This would be extended to the end of the current financial year.
2. That following the acquisition of Centenary House, Cabinet agreed the recommendation to increase the Operational Boundary and Authorised Limit as detailed in Paragraph 4.3.

100 CABINET WORK PROGRAMME

The Cabinet Work Programme was considered, and no amendments were made.

The timing of the Annual Car Parking report was discussed.

It was agreed that the table of work of the consultancy partners working on the Odiham Common Management Plan would be forwarded to Odiham ward Members.

The meeting closed at 8.23 pm

PLANNING COMMITTEE

Date and Time: Wednesday 8 December 2021 at 7.00 pm

Place: Council Chamber

Present:

Ambler, Blewett, Cockarill, Delaney, Kennett, Oliver (Chairman), Southern, Wheale and Worlock

In attendance: Quaterman

Officers:

Mark Jaggard	Head of Place
Steph Baker	Development Management & Building Control Manager
Miguel Martinez	Principal Planning Officer
Tola Otudeko	Shared Legal Services
Sabrina Cranny	Committee Services Officer

59 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes of the meeting held on 10 November 2021 were confirmed and signed as a correct record.

60 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies had been received from Councillor Radley.

61 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None

62 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. Members should have received a review of the Environment Act and its implications for Planning. Please contact Steph Baker, Development Management & Building Control Manager for any questions.
2. The Members Development Tour has moved to early spring due to rising Covid cases. Potential dates will be shared in the new year.
3. There are many forthcoming major applications due in the next few months. Please refrain from visiting any sites without informing officers as it would be inappropriate to visit even in a private capacity.

63 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS

The planning reports from the Head of Place were considered and the updates via the Addendum were accepted.

64 21/01268/FUL - HOMECROFT FARM CHURCH LANE, EWSHOT, FARNHAM GU10 5BJ

Construction of 6 dwellings with associated amenity space, access, parking, landscaping and associated works (following demolition of buildings).

Members considered the following:

- The previous applications
- Low carbon energy provision types
- The phasing out of gas boilers from 2025
- The benefits of heat pumps
- Energy efficiency measures via solar panels, rainwater harvesting, EV charging
- Potential energy savings are unknown at this time
- Difficult parking arrangements for neighbouring properties
- That Highways have no objections
- That the proposed houses may be too tall
- Sunlight impact on cottage six
- The need for efficient use of land

Members voted to Grant which was carried.

DECISION – that the Head of Place be authorised delegated authority to **GRANT** permission subject to the completion of a Unilateral Legal Agreement, conditions and an additional informative on the use of alternative sources such as heat pumps.

Notes:

Site Visit – 7 December 2021 and attended by Councillors Ambler, Kennett, Southern.

Ms Deirdre Rook spoke for Ewshot Parish Council against the application.

Mr Carl Jackett spoke against the application.

Mr James Cross spoke for the application.

The meeting closed at 8.02 pm

Public Document Pack

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Date and Time: Tuesday 16 November 2021 at 7.00 pm

Place: Council Chamber

Present:

Axam, Collins, Crookes, Davies, Dorn, Drage, Farmer, Harward, Smith, Wildsmith and Worlock (Chairman)

In attendance:

Cllrs Bailey, Butcher, Clarke, Cockarill, Oliver, Neighbour
From Hook Parish Council – Cllrs Nabbs and Orchard

Officers:

Daryl Phillips, Joint Chief Executive
Patricia Hughes, Joint Chief Executive
Kirsty Jenkins, Head of Community
Daniel Hawes, Planning Policy and Economic Development Manager
Nicola Harpham, Strategy & Development Manager
Amy Summers, Media and Communications Manager
Jenny Wood, Principal Planning Policy Officer
Alex Jones, Flood Risk Management Officer
Peter Summersell, Sustainability Officer
Jenny Humphreys, Committee Services Officer

60 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The Minutes of the meeting of 19 October 2021 were confirmed and signed as a correct record.

61 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

None.

62 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None.

63 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman moved agenda Item 12 after Item 14.

Cllr Dorn said that he had been unable to see a report for Item 11 and the Joint Chief Executive (DP) agreed to clarify the questions he had about Modern.gov outside of the meeting.

64 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION (ITEMS PERTAINING TO THE AGENDA)

None.

65 CORPORATE SERVICE PANEL UPDATE

Most Members summarised that the meeting content was clear and concise and that they were comfortable the team was doing a good job.

A Member asked for definitions on the red, amber, blue and green criteria in the report and this was discussed.

The Joint Chief Executive (PH) reassured members that the Staff Survey had already been completed.

The Chairman informed members that the report for the latest Place Service Board meeting had been issued today.

66 MULTI AGENCY AND PARISH FLOOD FORUM

The Chairman introduced Alex Jones to The Committee. Alex recently joined the council as Flood Risk Management Officer.

Alex summarised that last month's Multi Agency meeting with Thames Water went well and the next meeting is 7 March 2022. The date for the next Parish Flood Forum is still to be confirmed.

Members wanted to help the team make more contact with parish councils to get more of them to attend future flooding meetings. The Chairman agreed to mention this at the next meeting with Hart District Association of Parish and Town Councils in January.

A Member asked the Flood Risk Management Officer for an update on Mill Corner, Odiham.

67 CAR PARKING CHARGES REVIEW - FEEDBACK

The Chairman introduced Cllrs Verd Nabbs and John Orchard from Hook Parish Council.

Cllr Nabbs summarised that the one-hour parking scheme had been well received and Cllr Orchard stressed that more adequate signage was required to highlight the car parks, particularly Crossways Manor.

The Portfolio Holder for Environment reported that Hook parents had been issued parking permits. Emergency vehicles also had permits to park, but more enforcement was needed to prevent them using disabled spaces.

The Portfolio Holder for Environment confirmed that Hampshire County Council's support is needed to install road signs.

A Member summarised the parking charges effect in Hartley Wintney and believed that short term parking was working better than long term. More residents need to be reminded to display their parking permits.

More accurate assessments for all parking charges and car parks are expected to be carried out when the covid 19 pandemic improves.

68 WASTE MANAGEMENT UPDATE

The Portfolio Holder for Environment reported that the waste management transition to Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council had completed. He summarised that staff were calm and happy and the senior leadership team at Basingstoke had learnt lessons along the way.

The Joint Chief Executive (PH) acknowledged that there are existing service delivery challenges, particularly with the garden waste collection service and she received daily updates.

Members were keen to hear Basingstoke Council's opinion of the transition and the Joint Chief Executive (DP) suggested inviting a representative from the council to a future meeting.

Members also questioned:

- The Council's engagement with the contract.
- The service's performance and the possibility of receiving monthly updates.
- Issues with the service and why they were occurring.
- The garden waste scheme letters and how they had been received and understood by residents.

The Joint Chief Executive (PH) confirmed that regular KPIs, data and updates would be provided soon.

69 DRAFT COMMUNICATIONS AND ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY

The Portfolio Holder for Digital summarised the draft Communications and Engagement Strategy and reminded members it was a living document.

Members praised the team and the council for its transparency in producing this strategy.

RECOMMEDATION

Members recommended the draft strategy to Cabinet with the following comments:

- Climate change implications to be included in the document.

- Changing the length of the review – currently it is four years, could it be done annually.
- The inclusion of links to resident’s items of interest, not just statutory obligations (3.1).
- Political implications need to be carefully managed and considered when planning, creating and delivering news (3.6).
- The inclusion of more links to parishes and parish councils (4.1).
- More description on how the council provides news to people who are not as digitally enabled.
- How the team manages and archives historical content on the council’s website.

70 A TASK AND FINISH GROUP TO REVIEW PROJECTS FOR HOUSING CAPITAL SPEND

The Portfolio Holder for Community explained the team were seeking six Members to form a Task and Finish Group which will assist in the assessment and development of projects to be funded from the Housing Capital Fund.

Councillors Axam, Collins, Harward, Farmer, and Wildsmith volunteered. Cllr Axam is unable to attend the first meeting on 8 December. The Chairman said she also hoped to attend this meeting, pending diary commitments.

71 HOUSING CAPITAL FUNDING FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES IN NEW AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The Portfolio Holder for Community summarised the report, setting out a proposal to ringfence a proportion of the housing capital funds to support housing associations to provide energy efficiency measures in some new affordable homes.

The Strategy and Development Manager explained that a site had been identified that will provide 14 affordable homes – 11 rented and three shared ownership and believed this could be a good pilot site.

Members questions included:

- How energy efficiency benefits would be monitored and measured.
- The type of data that would be collected and how.
- The possibility of upgrading existing housing schemes in Hart to be more energy efficient.
- For the team to define the criteria that will be used to award money.

Members liked and supported this funding and welcomed schemes that would provide the Council with additional knowledge on more energy efficient housing initiatives.

RECOMMENDATION

The Overview and Scrutiny Committee wanted more information, evidence, and operational costs to be included in the report before it could be recommended to Cabinet.

The Chairman to send the Committee information and data on Passivhaus and summarise tonight's discussions to the team.

72 HART INTERIM PLANNING POLICY STATEMENT ON FIRST HOMES

The Portfolio Holder for Place, Principal Planning Policy Officer and Planning Policy and Economic Development Manager explained that the Government had introduced First Homes as an affordable housing product in May 2021 and that the Council is looking to introduce an Interim Planning Policy statement to reflect this.

The Joint Chief Executive (DP) asked if the Rural Exception sites policy could be exploited but officers confirmed that First Homes Exception sites now exist, so this is unlikely.

The Leader of the Council questioned why the Housing Team appeared to be reducing the number of rental homes in the borough. The Strategy and Development Manager confirmed that this was an interim approach that required further work.

RECOMMENDATION

The committee noted the content of the report with the following comments:

- The price of the first sale after discount is £250,000 - what this could afford in Hart compared to other areas.
- How this policy would affect the current Local Plan.
- Further work that would be needed after adoption of the policy.
- The decimal points in table 4.5 could be confusing.
- The inclusion of parish and town councils in planning policies (4.7).
- How and when is the First Home given up and how can the purpose of a First Home be preserved.
- The effect this will have on Neighbourhood plans.

73 A TASK AND FINISH GROUP TO UPDATE AND REFRESH THE CORPORATE COMPLAINT POLICY

The Joint Chief Executive (PH) reminded Members that the Council is currently updating and refreshing its Corporate Complaint Policy. Members were being sought to form a Task and Finish Group to finalise the project details; with the first virtual meeting scheduled for Wednesday 1 December.

Councillors Davies, Drage, Dorn and Smith agreed to be part of this group.

74 CABINET WORK PROGRAMME

The Joint Chief Executive (PH) confirmed that a Members work group for Budget and Medium-Term Financial Strategy will be held after December's Cabinet meeting.

75 OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME

The Chairman confirmed she was inviting the Portfolio Holder for Place and Head of Place to January's Overview and Scrutiny meeting to discuss the introduction of a policy on renewable energy for Hart.

The meeting closed at 9.51 pm

Public Document Pack

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Date and Time: Tuesday 14 December 2021 at 7.00 pm

Place: Council Chamber

Present:

Axam, Butler, Crookes, Dorn, Drage, Farmer, Harward, Smith and Worlock
(Chairman)

In attendance:

Councillor Butcher
Councillor Forster
Councillor Wildsmith

Officers:

Emma Foy, Head of Corporate Services & S151 Officer
John Elson, Head of Environment & Technical Services
Mark Jaggard, Head of Place
Kirsty Jenkins, Head of Community
Jenny Humphreys, Committee Services Officer

76 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

A member wanted a sentence included in November's Overview and Scrutiny Minutes on Odiham car parking charges (minute 67).

The member wanted it noted that they had mentioned that Odiham car parking charges had increased, and as a result the number of people buying tickets and revenue had gone down.

The Minutes of the meeting of 16 November 2021 were otherwise confirmed and signed as a correct record.

A member asked if there could be a 'matters arising' item on future agendas for Overview and Scrutiny meetings. The member also discussed version numbers and dates on agendas and the Chairman confirmed that this was something the Joint Chief Executive and officers were still discussing.

77 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Councillors Davies and Collins. Councillor Butler attended as a substitute for Councillor Davies.

Councillor Wildsmith attended via Teams video.

78 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Forster declared a personal interest as a nominated sub-contractor on the Kent Framework.

79 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman announced that Committee Services and the Joint Chief Executive had received a communication from a resident requesting that points raised within this communication could be addressed at tonight's meeting.

The Chairman then highlighted the correct procedures with respect of public participation at the meeting. The Council allows residents to make statements and raise questions at meetings of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. It is less formal than the Council arrangements. The rules, however, require the person making the statement, or raising the questions, to attend the meeting in person. This is because there is an expectation that members of the committee and officers can question the person making the statement or asking questions. Attendance can be either by coming to the meeting or by joining virtually via Teams.

In this case, the person asking the question had chosen not to attend. The points therefore could not be put to the committee, and neither could the individual be questioned. However, the Chairman confirmed that she had asked the Head of Corporate Services to address any relevant points in her presentation of the Budget Monitoring paper (agenda item 11).

The Chairman moved agenda item 8 before item 6.

80 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION (ITEMS PERTAINING TO THE AGENDA)

None.

81 ENVIRONMENT & TECHNICAL SERVICE PANEL UPDATE

Members summarised that the meeting was well run, the team were working very well together, and they are confident a good job is being done.

Members highlighted the following amber items as ones to watch:

- Developing a proposal for the installation of electrical vehicle charging points.
- The replacement of Hart's vehicle fleet with electric cars.
- Delivery of Phase 1 works at Edenbrook Country Park.

Members requested to see Quarter 2 data for CCTV, and the Head of Environment & Technical confirmed that the figures are above target but they are still awaiting to receive this data officially from Rushmoor Borough Council.

Councillor Forster left the meeting due to a personal interest (19:14).

A member questioned the use of the word 'tendering' for the Kent Framework project.

The Head of Environment & Technical Services will change the word 'tendering' to 'procuring'. This is due to the process not being a competitive one but a selection.

A member queried the progress of work on Odiham Common and the Head of Environment & Technical Services confirmed this project, as far as he was aware was on track.

82 PLACE SERVICE PANEL UPDATE

Members summarised that the service is working very hard and key individuals are very busy, with resource constraints curbing output across the very wide range of tasks.

Members stressed that prioritising the 32 service priorities so the team can focus on certain ones at different times could be more beneficial.

Members discussed fly-tipping and questioned the current figures and KPI's and how they were recorded.

The Chairman and Overview and Scrutiny Committee to consider changing the format and template for all service areas if it is deemed that extra information and prioritisation on tasks needs to occur.

83 COMMUNITY SERVICE PANEL UPDATE

Members reported that the meeting was very positive and commended the Head of Community for also providing written answers to questions that were asked at the meeting.

84 PROJECT INTEGRA JOINT MUNICIPAL WASTE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

The Head of Corporate Services explained that the report sets out the new Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy (JMWMS) proposed by Hampshire County Council.

This will be supported by a new operational partnership agreement and detailed action plan to take Project Integra (PI) forward including meeting the requirements of the Environment Bill.

Councillor Forster re-joined the meeting (19:26)

Members discussed:

- When the Environment Bill will become law.
- The project's financial costs and implications.
- The uncertainty of timescales.
- The "twin-stream" approach to recycling and how different proposed ones in the Strategy are to Hart's current one.
- The significant new recycling facilities that would be needed for this project and how these would be funded. For example, yoghurt pots, tin foil, and food waste.
- Increasing residents' education of recycling and engaging more with them on what they would like to see introduced to Hart's recycling service.
- Plastic pollution and plastic recycling.
- The Sky Ocean Rescue initiative.

DECISION

The Overview and Scrutiny Committee recommended to Cabinet the endorsement of a "twin-stream" approach to recycling, (not **the** "twin-stream" approach that is detailed in the report) to improve performance. Noting the clear proviso, that no commitment can yet be made to it, until the requirements of the Environment Bill and the associated financial arrangements are made clear, and agreement is reached on any revision to Project Integra with Hampshire County Council.

This to include the following comments (if possible):

- Timescales for the project to be listed.
- Funding and who would pay for aspects of the project.
- The project should be one that can respond easily to environmental and climate change factors when they arise, to support Hart's Climate Emergency commitment.
- In Appendix 1 (page 10 of the Strategy) Hart's recycling should be listed as 'alternate weekly' instead of fortnightly.

The Chairman to agree further comments with the Committee via email before Cabinet in January.

The Chairman also relayed a message from Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council on the welfare of Hart employees who had transferred as part of the waste management transition. Officers at Basingstoke said: "staff that have transferred have integrated well."

85 TREASURY MANAGEMENT 2021/22 (HALF YEAR REPORT)

The Head of Corporate Services summarised the Council's Treasury Management activities and performance during the first six months of the 2021/22 financial year (April-September 2021).

The Head of Corporate Services added that the report was due to come to November's Overview & Scrutiny meeting but was postponed due to that meeting's very full agenda.

Members discussions included:

- The risks and potential exposures of borrowing.
- Increasing the Barclays Counterparty limit from £5 million to £10 million and why this amount had been proposed.
- Operational Boundary and what it involved.

DECISION

The Committee endorsed the recommendation to Cabinet to increase the Barclays Counterparty limit to £10m until 31 March, to accommodate the investment in the Barclays Green Investment fund.

The Committee endorsed the recommendation that following the acquisition of Centenary House, to increase the Operational Boundary and Authorised Limit as detailed in paragraph 4.3.

The Head of Corporate Services to include percentage of assets and net spends in the Cabinet report and to circulate to members beforehand.

86 QUARTER TWO BUDGET MONITORING

The Head of Corporate Services summarised the revenue outturn and capital outturn for the first six months of the year ending 30 September 2021.

She highlighted that when the report was prepared the level of sales, fees and charges compensation had not been confirmed so this is not reflected.

The cost of Services Budget set by Cabinet in February was £107948.

Members discussions included:

- The net cost of waste transferring from Environment & Technical Services to Corporate Services.
- Car parking income decreasing due to the pandemic.
- Place service receiving increased fees from planning applications and increased work on Building Control.
- The retention of the dog warden van and why the Council has earmarked £24,000 for a potentially new electric vehicle for this service.
- Covid-19 grants/compensation from the government.
- Accounting Treatment and its definition.
- The loss of revenue due to the temporary closure of the Hart Leisure Centre during lockdowns.

The Head of Corporate Services agreed to provide more details on the dog warden van in the future.

Members also questioned if the Head of Corporate Services had answered all the resident's questions that had been submitted in a statement prior to the meeting.

The Head of Corporate Services confirmed she had answered all the points in the statement that referred to the Budget report, waste transfer and the earmarked reserves.

DECISION

1. The Overview and Scrutiny Committee noted the revised projections and main revenue variances highlighted in Paragraph 4.1 and Appendices 1 and 2.
2. The Committee noted the provisional full year revenue outturn position as at 30 September 2021 of a £612,000 overspend as detailed in Table 4.3.
3. The Committee noted capital outturn position as at 30th September 2021.

87 CABINET WORK PROGRAMME

Members questioned why the upcoming Annual Parking report is scheduled to include details of car parking income as it was already included in the budget papers.

The Head of Corporate Services to investigate this with the Joint Chief Executive and to find out more about what parking proposals these are referring to.

88 OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME

In addition to a verbal discussion, members were keen to see a report and presentation for the item on 'Renewable Energy Policy for Hart', due to come to February's Overview and Scrutiny meeting.

The Chairman agreed that an accompanying presentation on this topic and separate meetings were being considered by the Portfolio Holder for Place and Head of Place.

The Chairman also confirmed that the Renewable Energy Policy was planning policy.

The Chairman reminded the Committee that the Work Programme is a living document and items will be added continuously.

The meeting closed at 9.02 pm

Public Document Pack

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Date and Time: Tuesday 7 December 2021 at 7.00 pm

Place: Council Chamber

Present:

Axam (Chairman), Blewett, Crookes, Davies, Farmer and Southern

In attendance: Councillor Wildsmith
Councillor Butcher
Kevin Suter, Ernst & Young
Chandrika Sharma, Ernst & Young

Officers: Emma Foy, Head of Corporate Services & S151 Officer
Joanne Innes, Audit Manager
Rebecca Borrett, Committee Services Officer

17 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

A Member raised the following issues in relation to the Minutes of the meeting held on 27th July 2021:

Item 13: INTERNAL AUDIT PROGRESS REPORT Q1 2021/22

- Completion Dates - The plan should show expected completion dates. Officers confirmed in the updated version of Modern.Gov the completion dates were included.
- Summary report - Officers confirmed that a summary of the report needs to be provided and clarified this does happen in various individual areas. Officers suggested the members of the audit Committee be provided with a map which shows which parts are tested and where so members can clearly see where they are providing assurance over the information Overview and Scrutiny reviews.
- Shapley Heath costs - The member believed that it had been agreed that an audit of the Shapley Heath budget costs would be provided to the December Audit Committee and the minutes of the July meeting should have reflected this.

Item 14: LOCAL CODE OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

- Monitoring of the Agile Working Policy - The Head of Corporate Services reconfirmed the controls in place for monitoring performance with regard to officers working away from the office included:
 - A performance development guide.
 - An agile working policy, agreed the group leaders.

- Training provided to managers by COVID on managing staff remotely;
- Performance monitoring which feeds in then through the service boards;
- 121's and weekly catch ups to make sure that targets are set and that work in managed to ensure that performance is delivered;

The Minutes of the meeting held on 27 July 2021 were not signed and will be reviewed by the Chairman to then sign.

18 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

No apologies received.

19 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

No declarations made.

20 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman announced that Item 5 and Item 6 of the agenda would be swapped to take the audit results report before the Annual Governance Statement item.

21 AUDIT RESULTS REPORT 2020/21

Ernst and Young presented the draft audit report for the year ending 31st March 2021. Whilst in draft form it was nevertheless substantially completed albeit that there was an objection to the accounts that had still to be resolved. Therefore, while all the conclusions presented were as set out in the report, they remain preliminary and subject to the completion of the assessment of the objection.

Members discussed the following issues:

- Section 106 trigger points and invoicing.
- The implications of issues associated with matters arising from the objection relating to Shapley Heath and its relationship with the proposed Shapley Heath internal audit (Item 9 on the Agenda).
- The timetable for completing the 2021/21 Audit Results Report (likely to February).
- The publication of the Council's accounts - to date there was nothing of significance or mistakes being made in the accounts. Therefore, the accounts could be published but pending resolution of the objection they cannot at this time be signed as fully approved.
- The implication arising from the suggested undervaluation of property plant and equipment – the auditors clarified this particular point was put in to bring to attention that there is a slight difference between the judgment of the external valuer and how these assets should be valued. It could

have some impact in the future, however, for this financial year, it has not led to any uncorrected or corrected misstatements..

- The relationship or implications of the objection for signing off the Annual Governance Statement - work around the objection may raise some additional points that the Council may wish to include within the Annual Governance Statement which goes alongside the final statement of accounts.
- An update on a difference of approximately £120,000 currently being reviewed for the indexation of the relationship settlement between Hart and Basingstoke Council on the shared waste contract. The Head of Corporate Services confirmed that the difference arose due to some income and credit notes unfortunately being mis transcribed.

22 ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT 2020/21

Members discussed the merits of deferring approval of the Annual Governance Statement until the conclusion of the assessment objection to the Audit Results Report 2020/21. It was agreed that the governance statement gave some clarity to how the Council managed its operations, and it was agreed in the interest of transparency and effective engagement with residents that for 2021 the governance was adequate.

Members questioned if Key Performance Indicators (KPI's) were being monitored by Overview and Scrutiny Service Panels (specifically with regard to matters associated with the waste contract). It was confirmed that monitoring of the waste contract was being carried out by the Corporate Service's Panel.

DECISION

The Annual Governance Statement for 2020/2021 was approved subject to the conclusion of the objection to the Audit Results Report 2020/21.

23 STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS AND LETTER OF REPRESENTATION

The Head of Corporate Services explained the statement of accounts available if members would like to raise any questions or queries or required explanations.

Members

- Requested clarification referring to an impairment charge with respect of the leisure centre and the consequential impact of expenditure. The Head of Corporate Services confirmed revaluation upwards will in effect decrease expenditure, revaluation downwards will increase expenditure.

- An update on the potential of any New Homes Bonus (NHB). The Head of Corporate Services confirmed the local government settlement should be available soon and would be presented to a members Budget Seminar.
- Information on an underspend in Environmental and Technical Services – was it over costed or an efficiency saving. The Head of Corporate Services confirmed this related to capital spend and in 2020/21 capital spend was significantly under budget, largely due to the impact of the COVID pandemic and the ability to spend the money. All of the underspends were requested for carry forward through the outturn report which will come through Overview and Scrutiny in June or July, so that funding can be rolled forward into the next financial year.
- Clarification on the difference between the report on page 7 and the difference from the report that was considered by Overview & Scrutiny and Cabinet. The Head of Corporate Services explained since Cabinet, all audit adjustments had now been added.
- Questioned what the final COVID compensation amount was. The Head of Corporate Services advised the final confirmation for the last quarter was still awaited. A claim had been made but a reply from the Department of Levelling Up, Communities and Housing was awaited.

24 INTERNAL AUDIT PROGRESS REPORT Q2

The Internal Audit Manager updated the Committee on Internal Audit work carried out between July 2021 and October 2021.

Members

- Asked how the Complaints Audit linked to the request that came to Overview and Scrutiny for a working party to look at complaints
- Questioned Planning Performance due in March, and how that may relate to other work that is going on, looking at Development Management in particular in terms of KPI's. The Internal Audit Manager advised the audit scope has just been agreed and has a very specific scope for the audit, looking at once an application is processed how it is monitored and how management within the planning services review those and review the actual process to ensure they are working to timescales and if not, why not.
- Asked if there were any plans to do an internal audit on the management of rising energy costs. The Internal Audit Manager advised it would be reviewed in preparing next year's audit plan.
- Asked if the audit report looking at Housing Benefit should look specifically at the issue of overpayments. The Head of Corporate Services agreed that the issue would be discussed with the 5 Councils contract client manager.

DECISION

The Internal Audit work completed between July 2021 and October 2021 was noted.

25 CONSIDERATION OF ADDITIONAL AUDIT WORK - SHAPLEY HEATH GARDEN COMMUNITY PROJECT

Members discussed the need for a comprehensive and independent audit to be carried out to give assurance on many aspects of this project. It was estimated to cost approximately £12,000 and would be funded from recent funds received from the Central Government New Burden programme. The commissioning of this work would require a procurement exercised to be carried out.

Members questioned the timeframe the audit would cover and were informed it would be from 2018/19 at the time when spending costs started to be incurred.

DECISION

Members of the Committee approved an additional independent internal audit of up to 15 days procured by the Audit Manager based on the scope suggested in Section 4 of the report.

26 UPDATE ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CIPFA FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT CODE

The Head of Corporate Services updated members of progress to date for every action, with a number being dealt with as part of the Medium Term Financial Statement (MTFS). In addition, Numbers 8 and 10 are to be dealt with through the corporate planning process.

Members questioned when updates would be provided to the Audit Committee.

The Head of Corporate Services confirmed updated version of the report would be provided at each Audit Committee going forward.

DECISION

The report was noted.

The meeting closed at 8:26 pm

Public Document Pack

LICENSING COMMITTEE

Date and Time: Tuesday 23 November 2021 at 7.00 pm

Place: Council Chamber

Present:

Butler, Coburn, Davies, Delaney, Drage, Farmer, Forster, Smith and Wildsmith (Chairman)

In attendance: Kinnell (Portfolio Holder), Lamb

Officers: Emma Coles, Shared Licensing Services
Mark Jaggard, Head of Place
Louise Misselbrook, Shared Legal Services
Andrew Wake, Shared Licensing Services
Rebecca Borrett, Committee Services Officer

8 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes of 1 June 2021 were agreed and confirmed and signed as a correct record.

9 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

None received.

10 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None declared.

11 CHAIRMAN ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman introduced Emma Coles who has recently joined the Shared Licensing Service, and welcomed Andrew Wake to the meeting.

12 DRAFT SHARED STATEMENT OF LICENSING POLICY

The Committee considered a new Shared Statement of Licensing Policy.

Members discussed

- Point 8 with regard to any differences between Basingstoke and Fleet in the policy
- Clarification there were no changes to the policy that applied to Hart previously
- How the policy related to potential anti-social behaviour and criminality for Fleet Road. Officers explained every application is considered on its own merits, but the policy has a section on

expectations and if there are grounds for crime and disorder what will be expected.

- The opportunity to link the policy to the Council's declaration of a Climate Emergency, to encourage businesses to think about how they run from a climate perspective. It was agreed the Shared Licensing Service officer would speak to the Council's climate change officer.
- Confirmation of date policy must be renewed by, as renewable every five years. Officers explained was due in 2021 and the revised timeline is for this to be in place to bring back to February 2022 committee meeting.

DECISION

Approved the draft Shared Statement of Licensing Policy for consultation, subject to reference to the Climate Change Emergency being added.

Authorised the Head of Place in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Regulatory to make minor alterations and typographical corrections to the document before it is published.

13 TAXI AND PRIVATE HIRE POLICY: MANDATORY CARD PAYMENTS

The Committee considered an amendment to the Taxi and Private Hire Policy, relating to the mandatory card payment acceptance in licenced vehicles, following consultation with the public and responsible authorities. Officers clarified an error in 3.1, the word 'centre' needs to be removed. Members had identified some typing errors within the report which they will email to Shared Licensing Services.

Members discussed

- Clarification that the requirement would relate to the vehicle licence condition, and not the driver
- The timeline for agreement with regard to consultation timescales
- That card machines will accept contactless payments

DECISION

Approved the amendment to the Taxi and Private Hire Policy relating to mandatory card payment acceptance in licensed vehicles.

Authorised the Head of Place in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Regulatory to make minor alterations and typographical corrections to the document before it is published.

The meeting closed at 19:26 pm

COUNCIL

DATE OF MEETING: THURSDAY 27th JANUARY 2022

TITLE OF REPORT: COUNCIL TAX BASE 2022/23

Report of: Head of Corporate Services

Cabinet Member: Councillor James Radley, Deputy Leader and Finance

1 PURPOSE OF BRIEFING NOTE

1.1 To document and explain the recommendation and rationale for decision.

2 CABINET RECOMMENDATION TO COUNCIL

2.1 That in accordance with the Local Authorities (Calculation of Tax Base) Regulations 2012, the amount calculated by Hart District Council as its council tax base for the 2022/23 year shall be set at 41,815.86 (2021/22 - 41,175.55.)

3 BACKGROUND

3.1 The Local Government Finance Act 1992 amended by s84 of the Local Government Act 2003 sets out the requirements allowing each local authority to make its arrangements for adopting the Council Tax base. The Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) (England) Regulations 2012 (SI2012:2914) provides amended statutory guidance to incorporate the changes resulting from the introduction of the Local Council Tax Support Scheme (LCTSS).

3.2 The Council Tax varies between the different bands according to the proportions laid down in legislation. These proportions are based around Band D and are fixed so that the bill for a dwelling in Band A will be a third of the bill for a dwelling in Band H. Applying the relevant proportion to each Band's net property base produces the number of Band D equivalent properties for the area.

3.3 The Council is required to approve the Council Tax base figure for 2022/23 by 31 January 2022. It comprises an integral part of the calculations for setting the level of Council Tax, and is the number of chargeable properties adjusted for certain factors, e.g. single person's discount, exemptions and local council tax support.

3.4 The tax base is calculated as determined in the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) (England) Regulations 2012, with information as at the 30th November 2020. **APPENDIX 1** shows the calculation of the tax base whilst **APPENDIX 2** sets out the tax base for each Parish/Town Council.

3.5 The Parish/Town Councils have been notified of their individual estimated tax base so that they can set their Parish/Town precepts that they wish to and

calculated their Band D Council tax for 2022/23. The deadline for receipt of Parish/Town Council precepts is the 31st January 2022.

- 3.6 The collection rate used in the tax base calculation for 2021/22 is 88.7%, which is the same as that used in the current year and is still considered to be achievable post-Covid.
- 3.7 Subject to approval of the recommendation to Council the Council Tax Base will be built into the 2021-22 budget to be approved on the 24th February 2022 by Council.

Contact Details: Emma Foy, email: emma.foy@hart.gov.uk

APPENDICES TO THE CABINET REPORT FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

Appendix 1 – Calculation of Tax Base

Appendix 2 - Tax Base by Parish

Appendix 1

	BAND A Disabled	BAND A	BAND B	BAND C	BAND D	BAND E	BAND F	BAND G	BAND H	TOTAL
Total dwellings	0	759	2303	9690	9356	8129	7009	3961	244	41451
Total Exempt	0	86	71	610	168	87	30	15	9	1076
To be demolished	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total chargeable	0	673	2232	9080	9188	8042	6979	3946	235	40375
Disabled reduction applicable		1	29	54	59	54	35	26	2	260
Disabled reduction applied	1	29	54	59	54	35	26	2		260
Actual chargeable	1	701	2257	9085	9183	8023	6970	3922	233	40375
25% discount - SPD	1	380	1412	3605	2714	1819	1011	446	21	11409
25% discount - 1 person disregarded	0	1	10	59	62	65	50	23	2	272
50% discount all disregarded	0	0	0	2	4	2	8	5	4	25
100% charge	0	308	814	5394	6384	6120	5896	3441	204	28561
150% empty property	0	12	21	25	19	17	5	7	2	108
Reduction based on family annexe discount	0.0	13.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0
Net chargeable after applying discounts etc	0.75	598.25	1,911.50	8,180.50	8,496.50	7,559.50	6,703.25	3,805.75	226.25	37482.25
Reduction in Taxbase CTRS band D equivalent	0.24	92.01	367.79	901.70	378.41	143.17	38.02	15.58	0.00	1936.92
Total chargeable	0.51	506.24	1543.71	7278.80	8118.09	7416.33	6665.23	3790.17	226.25	35545.3
Band ratio	5/9	6/9	7/9	8/9	1	11/9	13/9	15/9	18/9	
Total number of Band D equivalents	0.3	337.5	1200.7	6470.0	8118.1	9064.4	9627.6	6317.0	452.5	41,587.98
Number of Band D equivalents of contributions in lieu (Class O Dwellings)										569.39
								Sub-total		42157.4
Total Band D equivalent for Tax base					42157.4					
Plus allowance for new properties coming into the valuation list during the year and the ending of discounts or exemptions					+0.5%					
Less allowance for properties being demolished, additional discounts or exemptions being granted and banding reductions					-0.01					
Less allowance for uncollected Council Tax					-1.30					
Tax Base					41815.90					

Appendix 2

Tax Base	2021/22	2021/22
Blackwater and Hawley	2,017.35	2,132.19
Bramshill	106.83	106.57
Church Crookham	4,074.96	4,122.12
Crandall	845.00	858.51
Crookham Village	1,775.46	1,772.41
Dogmersfield	183.03	181.81
Elvetham Heath	2,052.09	2,048.44
Eversley	766.29	770.98
Ewshot	473.90	485.46
Fleet	10,601.11	10,676.06
Greywell	129.39	130.47
Hartley Wintney	2,763.80	2,755.27
Heckfield	181.63	246.17
Hook	3,720.80	3,915.31
Long Sutton	233.76	242.64
Mattingley	322.39	327.06
Odiham	2,325.23	2,344.11
Rotherwick	276.14	278.31
South Warnborough	308.44	306.91
Winchfield	320.60	335.42
Yateley	7,697.37	7,779.65
	41,175.55	41,815.86